

2006 Docket Report

King County Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations

Background

The King County docket was established in 1998 in accordance with K.C.C. 20.18.140 to provide an opportunity for citizens of the County to register comments on the King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP) and associated development regulations. The County responds to each item registered on the docket, providing a feedback loop, as required by RCW 36.70A. 470. Docket forms are available on the King County Website, at several County department offices, and at all County-sponsored public meetings where land use and development issues are being discussed. The docket is open continuously, and each September 30 the items registered in the previous twelve months are compiled into the docket report for release on December 1 to the King County Council.

2006 Issues

King County received thirty-five items on the docket that closed on September 30, 2006. Many of these docket items involve proposed substantive amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan, which may only be addressed in the next major update of the KCCP which will occur in 2008. Following is a summary of these docket requests.

- Thirteen of the requests seek a land use redesignation from Rural to Urban, which may only be considered during the next major update of the King County Comprehensive Plan in 2008.
- Six of the requests seek amendments to the King County Code.
- Three request to expand Rural Towns or Rural Neighborhoods.
- Two cities request adjustments to the Urban Growth Area and their corresponding potential annexation area.
- Two of the requests seek to redisgnate Urban Separators to allow higher residential density.

Organization of Report

Included below is an alphabetical list of the docketed items. Following the alphabetical index is a chart of the docketed items including a brief summary of the request, the 2006 Council District where the property is located, and the corresponding Executive recommendation. The dockets are also organized in numeric order based on when the docket was entered into the system. Copies of the Executive response letters are also attached as part of this report.

The summary table is also available on the King County Website at http://www.metrokc.gov/ddes/compplan/docket/index.htm.

2006 Docket Alphabetical Index

Last Name	First Name, Middle Initial	Council District	Docket #
Anderson-(BranBar, LLC)	Barry	9	9
Bonewits (#1)	Richard E.	9	33
Buttar	Baljinder	9	8
Donahue	Mike	7	29
Durkin Jr.	Martin	9	16
Erikson (Puget Western Inc.)	Gust	3	23
Falkenberg	Douglas & Kathy	3	4
Feuerborn	Glenn	5	7
Griffin	Michael	3	22
Guck-(Interwest Development)	Larry	9	6
Gurol (City of Sammamish)	Kameron	9	28
Harris	Eric	9	24
Iverson Family Trust	RW Thorpe & Assoc	9	15
Keesling	Maxine	3	30
Kern (Lake Joy Comm. Club)	David	3	32
King	Steven	7	19
Kohlmann (Black Diamond	Ryan	9	21
Lawson Partners, LP			
Kombol/Palmer Coking Coal			
Co.)			
Martin, Marsha	(Earl R. & Nellie walker Trust)	3	12
Moorhead-(Buckles)	George	3	13
Norse (Kent School District)	Hal	5	26
Orni (Yarrow Bay)	Katherine	6	27
Peck	Steven L. & Anne G.	8	11
Poppie (Frontier	Bill	7	17
Construction)			
Potter	Jon	3	35
Powell	Roger	3	18
R&R Development, LLC		5	10
Scott (City of Carnation)	Linda	3	5
Slay	David	8	20
Snure	Brian	5	25
Soushek	Earl M.	7	3
Stark	Heather	3	1

Docket #`	Docket Item (Last name)	Council District	Recommendation
14	A request to expand the Rural Neighborhood at the intersection of SR-202 and 236 th Ave NE. This parcel is designated Rural and requested a Rural Neighborhood designation and commercial zoning. (Bob Thompson)	3	This request was addressed by a subarea plan. The subarea plan, which was approved by the King County Council in 2006, found that no expansion of the existing Rural Neighborhood was warranted.
15	A request for a Rural Neighborhood designation and NB zoning on property adjacent to the Hobart Rural Neighborhood. (Iverson Family Trust/RW Thorpe & Assoc)	9	Executive staff will address this issue during the 2008 update of the King County Comprehensive Plan.
16	A request to redesignate 23 acres from Rural to Industrial. (Martin Durkin Jr.)	9	Executive staff will address this issue during the 2008 update of the King County Comprehensive Plan. An Industrial designation for property not within a Rural Town or the industrial area adjacent to Preston is inconsistent with policy R-412, however an amendment to the King County Code to allow materials processing as a permitted use in the RA zone will be considered.
17	A request to redesignate 7.9 acres from Rural to Industrial (Bill Poppie, Frontier Construction)	7	An Industrial designation for property not within a Rural Town or the industrial area adjacent to Preston is inconsistent with policy R-412. No change is recommended for the subject property.
18	A request to remove 13 acres of land from the Snoqualmie Valley Agricultural Production District. A Rural designation is requested for the purpose of developing a golf driving range. (Roger Powell)	3	This proposal is not consistent with policy R-547, which requires a demonstration that removal of the land from the APD will not diminish the productivity of prime agricultural soils or the effectiveness of farming within the APD and that the land is no longer suited for agriculture. This policy also requires the following mitigation for removal of land from an APD: Land must be added to the same APD that is of equal size and has equal or greater soils and agricultural value. No change is recommended for the subject property.