

Proposed Ordinance No. 2021-0163.2

Date: August 17, 2021

To: King County Council: council@kingcounty.gov

cc: Jack Tracy, Principal Legislative Analyst, King County Council: JTracy@kingcounty.gov
John Taylor, Director, KC Dept. of Local Services (DLS): John-Dir.Taylor@kingcounty.gov
David Daw, External Relations Manager, KC DLS: ddaw@kingcounty.gov
Ivan Miller, KCCP Manager: ivan.miller@kingcounty.gov

Subject: **Comments—King County Council-Proposed Ordinance No. 2021-0163.2**

The undersigned King County Rural Area Unincorporated Area Councils (UACs) / Unincorporated Area Associations (UAAs) / Organizations [*] seek to “Keep the Rural Area Rural.” We have reviewed the subject proposed Ordinance No. 2021-0163.2, which calls for changing KC Code **Title 21A Zoning** with regards to the location of Search and Rescue (SAR) facilities in the Rural Area (RA) on parcels over 4.5 ac. Councilmember Lambert is the sponsor. Separately, in July, we submitted comments on the King County Department of Local Services-Permitting Division’s *related* Determination of Nonsignificance.

While we support SAR, that is not the key issue here based on how the proposed Ordinance is written. What is the key issue is that such zoning changes contemplated would open the RA to urban development. To meet SAR needs, that is totally unnecessary. In fact, the SAR facilities contemplated clearly meet the physical descriptions of facilities that should and can be accommodated inside King County’s Urban Growth Area (UGA) or Rural Towns. Thus, a broadly worded Ordinance, as the proposal is, that would apply to any parcel in the RA over 4.5 acres, is unwanted and unnecessary. Further, the proposed Ordinance amounts to a *variance* to locate a SAR facility in the RA.

The need for a fixed-site facility is overstated. In fact, associated training occurs in the *milieu*, such as in the woods, on the river, or on the ski slopes. This practice is well established. Classroom training for programs such as OEC (Outdoor Emergency Care), WFR (Wilderness First Responder), EMT (Emergency Medical Technician) certification, etc. have long been accomplished in established locations such as schools. Further, volunteer SAR personnel, generally, respond from their homes or personal location of the moment. Full-time services (sheriff, ski patrol, aircraft support, etc.) already have bases of operation from which they dispatch. We believe that consolidation of SAR resources is a poor idea and likely would result in slower response times. If there is a specific need to have a “*base of operations*” for SAR in the county, it can be literally anywhere and there is no need to site it *outside* the UGA.

To compound the issue, in the event that a helipad is included as part of such a facility, the site should be located in an area currently impacted by high noise levels, such as major airports or freeway interchanges. Helicopter noise is a health issue for those within many miles of a helipad. Consequently, helipads should not be located in any residential or agricultural zones. Basing of aircraft support is already well established in our region. In response to a public health or rescue situation, many locations work for this purpose.

If there is a site that might be particularly useful for the location of SAR facilities, it should be *specifically* addressed, rather than use a *blanket approach* to allow siting anywhere in RA. The 4-to-1 program may find application by mitigating any such change in the UGA in a manner consistent with the State’s Growth Management Act.

Based on our extensive past experience with proposals for development in the RA, this proposal would clearly open the door for more intrusion of urban development and infrastructure, which would be in direct conflict with myriad policies found in *VISION 2050*, Countywide Planning Policies, and King County Comprehensive Plan.

Proposed Ordinance No. 2021-0163.2

We oppose the proposed KC Code changes to: **Title 21A.06, Title 21A.08.060 A. Government/business services land uses** table, and **Title 21A.08.100 A. Regional land uses** table. Consequently, we urge this proposed Ordinance be REJECTED.

Thank you for your consideration of our perspectives. Should you have any questions, please contact our undersigned *Coordinator*.

Submitted by:

Peter Rimbos
primbos@comcast.net
Coordinator, Rural Area Issues, GMVUAC

Approved by:

Tim O'Brien
obrien_timothy@hotmail.com
President, EPCA

Serena Glover
serena@allenglover.com
Executive Director, FoSV

Steve Hiester
steve.Hiester@oldcastle.com
Chair, GMVUAC

Andy Benedetti
andyb929@gmail.com
President, GV/LHA

Michael Tanksley
wmtanksley@comcast.net
President, HHA

Jeff Guddat
jeffguddat@yahoo.com
President, SCAR

Nancy Stafford
nm.staff@outlook.com
Chair, UBCUAC

Ken Konigsmark
kenkonigsmark@yahoo.com
Rural Resident/Tech Consultant

Terry Lavender
tlavender2@frontier.com
Rural Resident/Tech Consultant

[] : EPCA: Enumclaw Plateau Assoc.; FoSV: Friends of Sammamish Valley; GMVUAC: Greater Maple Valley Area UAC; GV/LHA: Green Valley/Lake Holm Assoc.; HHA: Hollywood Hills Assoc.; SCAR: Soos Creek Area Response; and UBCUAC: Upper Bear Creek UAC.*